

CONCRETE BATCHING PLANT



COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST

INSPECTION TYPE: ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ARMS COMPLAINT NO:						
AIRS ID#: 0250984 DATE: <u>6/21/2011</u> ARRIVE: <u>12:50PM</u> DEPA	ART: <u>1:50PM</u>					
FACILITY NAME: AMARALTO CONCRETE & PUMP INC						
FACILITY LOCATION: 400 NW 10 Ave						
HOMESTEAD 33030						
OWNER/AUTHORIZED REPRESENTATIVE: AMADO LLIZO Email: CONTACT NAME: Email: ENTITLEMENT PERIOD: 6/12/2008 / 6/11/2013 (effective date) (end date) PHONE: (305)47 Mobile: (305)24 PHONE: Mobile: PHONE: (305)47						
Facility Section PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS (check ☑ only one box) ☑ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE						
PART II: ONSITE INTRODUCTORY MEETING 1. Name(s) of facility representative(s): Miguel Valdez Brief Notes:	(check ☑ only one box for each question)					
2. Is the Authorized Representative still AMADO LLIZO?						
If different, did the facility provide an administrative update within 30 days? 3. Is the facility contact still? If no, who is?:						
4. Will facility be conducting VE test(s) during today's inspection? If yes, was the compliance authority notified at least 15 days in advance?						

Emissions Unit Section 1 –Ready mix concrete batch plant subject to 5% Opacity Limit

PART I: FILE REVIEW PRIOR TO INSPECTION	(check ✓ only one box for each question)			
 Date of last inspection: 7/15/2010 Past Visible Emissions (VE) tests: 	que omon)			
a. Was a VE test performed within each of the past 4 calendar years? b. Has a VE test been performed yet within the current calendar year? c. If first year of operation, was a VE test performed within 30 days of commencing				
operation? N/A d. Date of last VE test: 7/15/2010	⊠ Yes □ No			
e. Was the VE test report filed with the compliance authority no later than 45 days after the test? f. Did the report state the actual silo loading rate during emissions testing? g. What was the actual silo loading rate? tons/hour				
h. If weigh hopper(batcher) emissions controlled by the silo dust collector, did the report state whether or not batching occurred during emissions testing? N/A i. Did the test report state the actual batching rate during emissions testing? j. What was the actual batching rate? tons/hour	☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ Yes ☐ No			
k. Did the emissions unit demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit during the last VE tes If not, what was the problem (if known)?	t? X Yes No			
PART II: STACK EMISSIONS from a silo, weigh hopper(batcher) or other	(check ☑ only one			
enclosed storage and conveying equipment	box for each question)			
1. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the facility for this unit during this site visit?				
a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?				
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit?	Yes No			
d. During visible emissions tests of the silo dust collector exhaust points was the loading of the silo conducted at a rate				
that is representative of the normal silo loading rate? \(\subseteq \text{ Yes} \) \(\subseteq \text{ No} \) \(\subseteq \text{ N/A} - \text{silo not} \) e. If silo loaded, was the minimum loading rate of 25 tons/hour achievable in practice?				
f. What was the silo loading rate? 25 tons/hour				
g. Are emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation controlled by the silo dust collector?				
If YES, then continue on to questions g.1) – g.3) below. If answer NO, then skip g.1) – g.3) and g 1) Was the weigh hopper (batcher) in operation during the visible emissions test?	Yes No			
2) During the visible emissions test, was the batching rate representative of the normal batchin duration?	Yes No			
3) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? tons/hour.h. 1) If emissions from the weigh hopper (batcher) operation are controlled by a dust collector with the controlled by the controlled by the controlled by the controlled by a dust collector with the controlled by the co				
from the silo dust collector, was the visible emissions test of the weigh hopper (batcher) dust	collector			
conducted while batching at a rate that is representative of the normal batching rate and dura 2) What was the batching rate? tons/hour. What was the batching duration? m				
2. Was a visible emissions test conducted by the inspector for this unit during this site visit? a. Was the visible emissions test conducted according to EPA Method 9?	Yes No			
 b. The visible emission test resulted in an opacity of 0 % for the highest six-minute average. c. Did the visible emissions test demonstrate compliance with the 5% opacity limit? d. What was the process rate? 25 tons/hour. 	Yes No			

Facility Section (continued)

CO	ONFIRMATION OF GENERAL PERMIT ELIGIBILITY	(check 🗹			
1.	Does this facility keep records to show that it does not have the potential to emit: a. 10 tons per year or more of any hazardous air pollutant? b. 25 tons per year or more of any combination of hazardous air pollutants? c 100 tons per year or more of any other regulated air pollutant?	☐ Yes	No No No No		
2.	Does this facility include: a. Any emission units or activities not covered by the applicable air general permit (with the exception units and activities that are exempt from permitting pursuant to subsection Rule 62-210.300(3) or Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.)?		⊠ No		
	b. Any emissions units or activities authorized by another air general permit where such other air general permit and this general permit specifically allow the use of one another at the same facility?		⊠ No		
3.	Is the total combined annual facility-wide fuel usage of all plants less than or equal to: a. 275,000 gallons of diesel fuel? b. 23,000 gallons of gasoline? c. 44 million standard cubic feet on natural gas? d. 1.3 million gallons of propane? e. Or an equivalent prorated amount if multiple fuels are used onsite (use equation below)?	Yes Yes Yes Yes	NoNoNoNoNoNo		
	gal diesel/yr + gal gasoline/yr + MM SCF nat. gas/yr + MM gal propane/yr < 1.00? 000 gal diesel/yr 23,000 gal gasoline/yr 44 MM SCF nat. gas/yr 1.3 MM gal propane/yr				
4.	Has the owner/operator maintained, available for inspection, site-wide records of monthly fuel consum for each consecutive 12-period for the past 5 years?	ption Yes	☐ No		
GI	ENERAL CONDITIONS	(check ☑ box for each	•		
1.	Has the owner or operator allowed the circumvention of any air pollution control device, or allowed the emission of air pollutants without the proper operation of all applicable air pollution control devices?	⊠ Yes	□ No		
2.	Does the owner or operator: a. Maintain the authorized facility in good condition? b. Ensure that the facility maintains its eligibility to use the air general permit and complies with all	· 🛛 Yes	☐ No		
3.	terms and conditions of the air general permit?	Yes	☐ No		
	to the facility at reasonable times to inspect and test and to determine compliance with the air general permit and Department rules?	- Xes	☐ No		

RELOCATABLE PLANT:		(check 🗹 box for each c	
1. Is the facility: stationary ⊠; relocatable □; or consisting of both concrete batching and/or nonmetallic mineral processing plants? (.			-1
2. Is the relocatable concrete batching plant used to mix cement and soil for onsite soil augmentation or stabilization? (If YES, answer 2. a and 2.b; if NO, answer question 2.c below.)		- Yes	☐ No
 a. Did the owner or operator notify the appropriate Department or e-mail, fax, or written communication at least one business day b. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notificent 	prior to changing location?		☐ No
to the Department or Local Air Program no later than five busin c. Did the owner or operator transmit a Facility Relocation Notific	less days following a relocation?ation Form [DEP No. 62-210.900(6)	Yes	□ No
to the appropriate Department or Local Air Program at least five 3. If the relocatable plant was co-located at a facility with a separate			∐ No
and the relocatable batch plant is not included as an emissions unit a. Was the relocatable batch plant being used for a non-routine put If YES, what was the purpose?	in that separate permit:		☐ No
b. Were records kept by the owner/operator to indicate how long is co-located at the permitted facility?		Yes Yes	☐ No ☐ No
CHANCES		_	_
CHANGES Administrative Changes:		(check 🗹 box for each of	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership:	n of the facility or any emissions uni inistrative change at the facility?	box for each of tive not its or Yes	•
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? ?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes Yes - Yes Yes	question)
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uni inistrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes	question) No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uni inistrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions uni inistrative change at the facility? of the change?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? ? stantially different? on form and the appropriate fee subs	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No
Administrative Changes: 1. Were there any changes in the name, address, or phone number of associated with a change in ownership or with a physical relocatio operations comprising the facility; or any other similar minor adm 2. If YES, did the facility provide written notification within 30 days New or Modified Process Equipment or Change in Ownership: 3. Since the last registration form submittal has there been a. Installation of any new process equipment?	n of the facility or any emissions unitinistrative change at the facility? of the change? stantially different? on form and the appropriate fee subsection of the change?	box for each of tive not its or - Yes - Hes - He	question) No No No No No No No

COMMENTS: On June 21, 2011 I visited this facility to conduct the annual compliance inspection and to witness the visible emissions tests. On site I met Miguel Valdez, the manager of the facility. Bill Arlington, Arlington Environmental Services, conducted the VE tests. VE tests were conducted on the baghouse silo and weigh hopper dust collector. The loading range met the permit criteria. Facility produces approximately 1000 yards of concrete per month. I did not observe any fugitive particulates around the facility.